

Cabinet

Meeting date: 07 November 2023

Public Questions (2 total)

1. Question from Mr Steven Thomas to Cabinet Member for Climate Emergency, Councillor Alisha Lewis

As a Cheltenham resident I am very concerned about the effects of geo-engineering on the wildlife, soils, water and air pollution levels in Cheltenham.

It seems that this has not been considered in any of your documents about mitigating climate change.

It is my opinion that geo-engineering is the single biggest cause of man-made climate change and far exceeds any other contributory factors.

I have provided scientific evidence of this, alongside hundreds of weather modification patents.

https://climateviewer.com/2014/03/24/geoengineering-weather-modification-patents/

https://www.geoengineeringwatch.org/

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/883115/geoengineering-position-statement.pdf

Can you please advise if any steps are being taken by the council to mitigate the effects of geo-engineering in Cheltenham and whether it will be properly taken into account in your climate policies?

2. Question from Mr Steven Thomas to the Leader, Councillor Rowena Hay

I am very concerned about the transparency and openness of the Golden Valley development. Of particular note is the lack of consultation with the public. Supposedly a consultation was carried out at the Gloscol Campus and a visit to the to the centre on a wet winter's day. Assuming that you are not a resident at the Gloscol Campus or were not in the town centre in January, then you would not have known about the Golden Valley Development or had an opportunity to give your opinion.

I only know about the development because I have started taking an interest in the

Page 2



council's business but I would say that the large majority of Cheltenham residents have absolutely no idea about what is going on there.

Alongside Net Zero and 5G, this is yet another example of where the general public are being given no say in areas of huge significance, and is simply not acceptable.

Can you please advise why <u>all</u> Cheltenham Residents were not advised and consulted for their feedback on the Golden Valley development <u>before</u> the decision was taken?